

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,873	11/13/2003		Mark L. Younie	05165.1340	6292
	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & I			WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL		
Washington S 1050 Connec			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT		•		1732	
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			705,873	YOUNIE ET AL.	YOUNIE ET AL.				
			miner	Art Unit					
		, i	Wollschlager	1732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ( s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will appl v will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION IN no event, however, may be and will expire SIX (6) Mix the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 Novem	ber 2003.						
		2b)⊠ This actio							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election	on requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawii	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>								
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority do	ocuments have bee	en received in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internation	•							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	e certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948\		v Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, 27, and 31, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 389.
- II. Claims 21-26 and 28-30, drawn to a method of producing an item using a mold plug, classified in class 264, subclass 219.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a chemical absorption/extraction process. Further, the pressing step within the method can be practiced by hand.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, searching the different inventions would create an undue burden for the examiner.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1732

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272Application/Control Number: 10/705,873

Art Unit: 1732

8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45,

alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner

Art Unit 1732

August 18, 2006

CHRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/21/06